# Magnetic fields in the formation of massive stars: The SMA view

Josep Miquel Girart *Institut de Ciències de l'Espai* 





Q. Zhang (CfA) K. Qiu (Nanjing Univ,) P. Frau (OAN, CAB-CSIC) Y.-W. Tang, P. Koch, H.Y. Liu, R. Rao (ASIAA) M.T. Beltran (Arcetri)

. . . .



Puerto Varas, Chile March 15–20, 2015

# Content

- 1. Introduction.
- 2. Previous SMA results
- 3. SMA Legacy Survey. The sample and Individual targets
- 4. SMA Legacy Survey. Statistical results
- 5. SMA and CSO Statistics
- 6. Conclussions

# Magnetic fields: why should we care?

- Magnetic braking: angular momentum removal,
- Control accretion disk formation and evolution, launch of bipolar outflow
- Slow and regulate collapse of the dense molecular cores
- Observations show magnetic fields have strength from ~10µG in diffuse atomic/molecular gas, ~0.5-10 mG in dense molecular cores, up to ~100 mG at few hundreds AU near low/ high mass protostars



#### Previous observations of magnetic fields at $\sim 0.1$ pc scale: G31.41+0.31

- \* D=7.9kpc; L $\approx$ 3 10<sup>5</sup> L $_{\circ}$ ; M $\approx$ 500M $_{\circ}$
- Magnetic field: twisted hourglass
- Supercritical magnetic core (magnetic energy > turbulent energy)
- Inverse P-Cygni profile: infall
- \*  $\dot{M}_{acc} = [3 \times 10^{-3} 3 \times 10^{-2}] M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$
- \* Molecular gas: rotation along major axis
- Evidence of magnetic braking

G31 refs: Beltran et al. 2004, Osorio et al. 2004; Cesaroni et al. 2011; Mayen-Gijon et al. 2014

Other examples of well organized B: W51e2/e8 (*Lai et al. 2001; Tang et al. 2009*); G35.2-0.74 N (*Qiu et al. 2013*)



#### Previous observations of magnetic fields at $\sim 0.1$ pc scale: G31.41+0.31

- ◆ D=7.9kpc; L≈3  $10^5$  L  $_{\odot}$ ; M≈500M  $_{\odot}$
- Magnetic field: twisted hourglass
- Supercritical magnetic core (magnetic energy > turbulent energy)
- Inverse P-Cygni profile: infall
- \*  $\dot{M}_{acc} = [3 \times 10^{-3} 3 \times 10^{-2}] M_{\odot} yr^{-1}$
- Molecular gas: rotation along major axis
- Evidence of magnetic braking

G31 refs: Beltran et al. 2004, Osorio et al. 2004; Cesaroni et al. 2011; Mayen-Gijon et al. 2014

Other examples of well organized B: W51e2/e8 (*Lai et al. 2001; Tang et al. 2009*); G35.2-0.74 N (*Qiu et al. 2013*)



#### Previous observations of magnetic fields at ~0.1 pc scale: NGC 7538 IRS 1

- \* D=2.65 kpc; L $\approx 10^4$  L $_{\odot}$ ; M $\approx 200$ M $_{\odot}$
- \* IRS1, UC HII region of 500 AU
- ★ Filamentary structure: **central bar** formed with gravitationally bound cores ( $15 37 \text{ M} \circ$ ) and a "**spiral arm**" formed gravitationally unbound cores ( $3 12 \text{ M} \circ$ )
- \* **Central bar** is forming massive stars
- \* **Spiral arm** is expanding
- \* Magnetic field: twisted following spiral arm
- The kinetic energy, linear momentum, and dynamic age of the spiral arm are compatible with the values of the bipolar CO outflow
- Spiral arm formed/enhanced in a snowplow fashion by the outflow

NGC7538IRS1 refs: Kawabe et al. 1992, Klaassen et al. 2009; Wright et al. 2014; Goddi et al. 2015

Other examples "disorganized" B: G5.89-0.39 (*Tang et al. 2009*)



#### Previous observations of magnetic fields at $\sim 0.1$ pc scale: NGC 7538 IRS 1

- \* D=2.65 kpc; L $\approx 10^4$  L $_{\odot}$ ; M $\approx 200$  M $_{\odot}$
- \* IRS1, UC HII region of 500 AU
- \* Filamentary structure: **central bar** formed with gravitationally bound cores ( $15 37 \text{ M} \circ$ ) and a "**spiral arm**" formed gravitationally unbound cores ( $3 12 \text{ M} \circ$ )
- \* **Central bar** is forming massive stars
- \* **Spiral arm** is expanding
- \* Magnetic field: twisted following spiral arm
- The kinetic energy, linear momentum, and dynamic age of the spiral arm are compatible with the values of the bipolar CO outflow
- Spiral arm formed/enhanced in a snowplow fashion by the outflow

NGC7538IRS1 refs: Kawabe et al. 1992, Klaassen et al. 2009; Wright et al. 2014; Goddi et al. 2015

Other examples "disorganized" B: G5.89-0.39 (*Tang et al.* 2009)



# SMA Polarization Legacy project: Observing magnetic fields in a sample of massive star forming regions

#### Method:

- $\ast\,$  Image polarization at 880  $\mu m$  with the SMA in
  - A. Beam of  $\approx 1''$  (subcompact, compact and extended configurations)
  - B.  $1\sigma$  rms noise of 2 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>.
- \* Frequency tuning to observe molecular tracers of
  - C. the core's kinematics  $(H^{13}CO^+ 4-3, SO \text{ lines}),$
  - D. hot core lines (CH<sub>3</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CN)
  - E. outflow activity (CO 3-2, SiO 8-7)

#### Sample:

- \* 21 massive star forming regions from mm surveys and polarization with SCUBA
- \* Continuum flux limit of 0.5 Jy/beam (interfero.)
- Most of sources in a relatively nearby distances (<2 kpc)</li>
- Earliest stages of star formation: avoid HII regions

### SMA pol survey in massive cores: G240.31+0.07

Qiu et al. 2009, ApJ, 696, 66 and 2014, ApJ, 794, L18



D=5.3 kpc; L≈ 3 10<sup>4</sup> L ∘ ; M≈125 M ∘ A well aligned case: bipolar outflow, magnetic field and rotation axes Evidence of magnetic braking

G240.31+0.07 refs: Chen et al. 2007; Trinidad 2011,

### SMA pol survey in massive cores: G240.31+0.07

Qiu et al. 2009, ApJ, 696, 66 and 2014, ApJ, 794, L18





## SMA pol survey in massive cores: DR21(OH)

Girart et al. 2013, ApJ, 792, 116



D=1.6 kpc; L $\approx$  2 10<sup>4</sup> L $_{\odot}$ ; M $\approx$ 300 M $_{\odot}$ . High level of fragmentation No apparent aligned between bipolar outflow, magnetic field and rotation axes Angular momentum dominates over magnetic field, causing a complex toroidal B morphology

DR21(OH) refs: Crutcher 1999; Lai et al. 2003; Hennemann et al. 2012,

#### SMA pol survey in massive cores: Images









#### SMA pol survey in massive cores: Statistical results: $B_{core} vs B_{clump}$



Bimodal distribution

- 60% SMA pol  $\Delta\theta < 40^{\circ}$
- Smaller group of pol  $\Delta\theta$ ~80-90°
- Analysis suggests a || to  $\perp$  ratio of 5:3



#### SMA pol survey in massive cores: Statistical results: B<sub>core</sub> vs Major Axis<sub>core</sub>

Zhang et al. 2014, ApJ, 792, 116



Bimodal distribution

- 60% SMA pol  $\Delta\theta < 40^{\circ}$
- Smaller group of pol  $\Delta \theta \sim 90^{\circ}$
- Analysis suggests a || to  $\perp$  ratio of 5:3



#### SMA pol survey in massive cores: Statistical results: $B_{core}$ vs Outflow direction

Zhang et al. 2014, ApJ, 792, 116



No apparent correlation

Similar result found for low-mass star forming cores: Hull et al. 2013



## Statistical results from pol SMA + CSO obs

Koch et al. 2014, ApJ, 797, 99

Analysis of the magnetic field direction and the dust emission gradient shows that:

- Cores with magnetic fields along the minor axis of the cores, appear to have slowed collapse
- Other cores (B field along major axis, other configuration) should show a faster collapse (close to free-fall collapse)



# Conclussions

- \* In general magnetic fields appear to show a uniform pattern at core scale
- \* Magnetic fields at core scale show a bimodal distribution *wrt* to the larger scale direction and *wrt* to the core's major axis
- Simodal distribution: why? Can simulations reproduce qualitatively the results from the SMA survey?
- Outflow direction is not correlated with core's magnetic field
- \* Evolved regions (i.e., with UC HII regions) show a more chaotic B field distribution: energetically it is overwhelmed by stellar feedback



Thanks for your attention!

Puerto Varas, Chile March 15–20, 2015