

lrfu

nstitut de



recherche sur les lois fondamentales de

r'**U**nivers



# Formation of massive stars: a review

Patrick Hennebelle

Thanks to: Benoît Commerçon, Marc Joos, Andrea Ciardi Gilles Chabrier, Romain Teyssier

-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D: grain solution
- -2D: flashlight solution
- -3D: radiative instability solution
- -collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ? -competitive accretion -gravitational well based theory -tracing the gas in simulations **Thermal Support** Consider a cloud of initial radius R

If γ<4/3, when R decreases, Etherm/Egrav
decreases:
=> heating/cooling processes

$$\frac{E_{therm}}{E_{grav}} = \frac{PV}{GM^2/R} \propto \rho^{\gamma} R^4 \propto R^{4-3\gamma}$$

#### **Centrifugal Support and Angular Momentum Conservation**

When R decreases, Erot/Egrav increases: =>(magnetic) braking process

$$j = R^2 \omega(t) = R_0^2 \omega_0$$
$$\frac{E_{rot}}{E_{grav}} = \frac{MR^2 \omega^2}{GM^2 / R} \propto \frac{1}{R}$$

#### **Magnetic Support and Flux Conservation**

When R decreases, Emag/Egrav is constant: Typically one infers  $\mu = (M/\phi)/(M/\phi)_c = 1-4$ (Crutcher et al. 1999, 2004)

$$\frac{\phi \propto BR^2}{E_{mag}} = \frac{B^2 R^3}{M^2 / R} \propto (\phi / M)^2$$

# -Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

# -1D : grain solution

- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution
- -collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ?
-competitive accretion
-gravitational well based theory
-tracing the gas in simulations

# **The Issue of Radiative Pressure**

The coupling occurs through the coupling of the radiation to the dust => Treating well the radiative transfer and the micro-physics

Larson & Starrfield 1971 (Kahn 1974):

+ Collapse conditions =>  
Radiation Pressure / Dynamical Pressure = 1 
$$\Rightarrow \frac{L/4\pi r^2 c}{\rho u^2} \approx 1$$
  
+  $u^2 = 2GM/r \Rightarrow \frac{L/4\pi r^2 c}{\rho u^2} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \frac{L/L_s}{(M/M_s)^{1/2}} r^{1/2}$ 

+ the radius where grains vaporize corresponds to => T=1500 K

+ Estimate of T using simple radiative transfer

=>Radiative Pressure / Dynamical Pressure =

$$2 \times 10^{-5} \frac{(L/L_S)^{6/5}}{(M/M_S)^{3/5}}$$

leads to M~20 M<sub>s</sub>

Wolfire and Cassinelli (1985): -assume a constant accretion rate of 10<sup>-3</sup>M<sub>s</sub>/an

-consider a classical (Matthis et al. 1977) grains distribution

-explore the influence of a grain deficit

A 100 Ms stars cannot form with a standard grain abundance (1/4 is required)

Solution: weak abundance of grains



-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D : grain solution
- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ?
-competitive accretion
-gravitational Well based theory
-tracing the gas in simulations

# **The Multi-D approach**

(e.g. Yorke & Sonnhalter 2002, Krumholz et al. 2009, Kuiper et al. 2010)

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}) = -\nabla P - \rho \nabla \phi,$$

 $\frac{\partial(\rho e)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[ (\rho e + P) \mathbf{v} \right] = \rho \mathbf{v} \nabla \phi - \kappa_R \rho (4\pi B - cE),$ 

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \left[ \rho + \sum_n m_n \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n) \right],$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{c\lambda}{\kappa_{\rm R}\rho} \nabla E\right) = \kappa_{\rm P} \rho (4\pi B - cE) + \sum_n L_n W(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n)$$

$$L_n = \frac{G\dot{M}M}{R} + L_*$$

$$\kappa_R = 0.1 + 4.4(T_g/350) \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1},$$
  
 $\kappa_P = 0.3 + 7.0(T_g/375) \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}.$ 

Flux limited diffusion method:

-implicit methods : expensive

-usually assume grey-body except Yorke & Sonnhalter who do multi-frequency

-Kuiper et al. use an hydrib scheme, treating FLD as grey but also include the direct illumination from central stars (multi-wavelength)



2D results by Yorke & Sonnhalter (2002)

Solution of the radiative pressure problem: the anisotropy due to the disk, *The flashlight effect* (Yorke & Bodenheimer 99)

-due to centrifugal force, matter piles up in the equatorial plan

-bigger optical depth in the equatorial plan than along the pole

-the photons escape in this direction and the radiative pressure is reduced

Yorke & Sonnhalter (2D, 64 wavelengths)

+collapse nearly spherical

+flattening in the equatorial plan

+formation of outflows along the pole

+eventually expansion even along the equatorial plan

Formation of 33 Ms star (total mass 60).



#### Yorke & Sonnhalter

Identical but grey treatment

Important differences :

-no outflow

-Final Mass : 20 Ms (total mass 60 Ms).







-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

-1D : grain solution
-2D : flashlight solution
-3D : radiative instability solution
-collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ? -competitive accretion -gravitational well based theory -tracing the gas in simulations 3D results by Krumholz et al. 2009 The cavity becomes unstable to Rayleigh-Taylor instability and more gas is accreted







Results of Kuiper et al. 2011 The instability of the cavity is due to the FLD scheme The hydrid scheme (FLD+ray tracing) leads to higher radiative pressure and no instability



IT (TROS AU)

# -Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D : grain solution
- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution
- -collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ?
-competitive accretion
-gravitational well based theory
-tracing the gas in simulations

# The collision model

# Alternative Scenario (Bonnell et al. 98, 02, 04): Formation of massive stars by « merging » of low mass stars

#### The radiative pressure has no influence on the collisions between stars

Bonnell et al. 98 develop a Toy model that takes into account accretion, merging by collision and cluster dynamics.



#### **Estimate using direct simulations**

(Bonnell & Bate 02,05) SPH with 1,000,000 of particules, use sink particles Possible to merge the sinks (distance threshold)

+Mass of most massive stars +Mean mass in the cluster core +Mean mass Mass due to collisions as a function of the final mass





-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D : grain solution
- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution
- -collision model

# -Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ? -isothermal

-radiative feedback-magnetized non-radiative-radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ?
-competitive accretion
-gravitational well based theory
-tracing the gas in simulations

# Fragmentation of a collapsing unmagnetized isothermalMassive core (Dobbs et al. 2005)





The core fragments in many objects therefore limiting the mass of stars.

-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D : grain solution
- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution
- -collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?
-isothermal
-radiative feedback

-magnetized non-radiative -radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ? -competitive accretion -gravitational well based theory -tracing the gas in simulations



2

Ş

#### **Influence of the radiative** ₽ feedback on a massive core Krumholz et al. 2007

**Radiative feedback reduces** Ę the number of fragments simply because it increases the temperature and increases the Jeans mass ⊋

Note however that the initial conditions are very peaked (singular isothermal sphere) B and tend to prevent fragmentation Girichidis et al. 2010



#### **Influence of the protostellar feedback : further calculations**

Bate 2009, Offner et al. 2009, Commerçon et al. 2010, Tomida et al. 2010, Krumholz et al. 2012



with feedback



=>Too few low mass objects with pure radiation, winds help to reduce feedback which escape along cavities

-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D : grain solution
- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution
- -collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ? -competitive accretion -gravitational well based theory -tracing the gas in simulations

# **Influence of a weak magnetic field on the fragmentation of low mass core** $\mu$ =1000 (hydro) $\mu$ =50 $\mu$ =20



H & Teyssier 2008 (see also Machida et al. 2005)

#### 100 Mo magnetized, turbulent and dense barotropic core

(other related works : Peters et al. 2010, Seifried et al. 2012) Turbulence is initially seeded. Eturb/Egrav ~20%

In the case of a massive turbulent core, magnetic field reduces, though, do not suppress fragmentation





Impact of the magnetic braking:

J is much reduced as B Increases

Magnetic braking is important even when the flow is significantly turbulent



#### 100 Mo magnetized, turbulent and dense barotropic core

Powerful outflows are launched even in turbulent cores Faster flows appear with weaker fields





-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D : grain solution
- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution
- -collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ?
-competitive accretion
-gravitational well based theory
-tracing the gas in simulations

#### 100 Mo turbulent dense core collapse

Eturb/Egrav=20% initially



Commerçon, H & Henning, ApJL 2011

(see also Price & Bate 2009 at larger scales, Myers et al. 2013, 2014)

#### 100 Mo turbulent dense core collapse



Commerçon, H & Henning, ApJL 2011

#### 100 Mo turbulent dense core collapse





# **100 Ms cores with larger column densities** (Myers et al. 2014, Commercon & H 2015)



Similar effects still observed Magnetized runs have about 2 times less fragments

#### Peters et al. 2010, 2011, 2012 take also into account **ionising radiation**



Ionising radiation tends to push further the gas outwards and may be important/dominant for outflows in massive stars

-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D : grain solution
- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution
- -collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

# -Where the gas is coming from ? -competitive accretion

-gravitational well based theory -tracing the gas in simulations

#### **Competitive accretion : individual wells are unimportant**

(Zinnecker 1982, Bonnell et al. 2001, Bate et al. 2003,...)

Stellar dominated Potential:

$$\frac{dN}{dM} \propto M^{-2}$$

Assume  $\rho_{gas} \propto R^{-3/2}$ (Shu 1977)  $\dot{M}_* = \pi \rho V_{rel} R_{acc}^2$  : typical after rarefaction wave propagates away

$$R_{acc} \approx R_{BH} \approx \frac{GM_*}{V_{rel}^2}, \qquad V_{rel} \approx \sqrt{GM_{cluster}/R} \approx R^{-1/2}$$

 $\Rightarrow \dot{M}_* \propto M_*^2 \qquad (\text{accretion independent on the position in the cluster}) \\\Rightarrow dN \propto M_*^{-2} dM_* \qquad (\text{under reasonable assumptions...})$ 



Mass spectrum from Bonnell et al. (2001)

1000 stars initially of mass 0.1 Ms, 10% of the total Mass

The mass spectrum develops and lead to a Salpeter type Slope

-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D : grain solution
- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution
- -collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

-Where the gas is coming from ?

-competitive accretion

-gravitational well based theory

-tracing the gas in simulations

# Theories assuming that individual wells are determinant

(Padoan et al. 97, McKee & Tan 2003, H & Chabrier 2008, Hopkins 2012)



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(\tilde{M}) &= 2\mathcal{N}_0 \frac{1}{\tilde{R}^3} \frac{1}{1 + (2\eta + 1)\mathcal{M}_*^2 \tilde{R}^{2\eta}} \left[ \frac{1 + (1 - \eta)\mathcal{M}_*^2 \tilde{R}^{2\eta}}{(1 + \mathcal{M}_*^2 \tilde{R}^{2\eta})^{3/2}} - \frac{\delta_R^c + \sigma^2/2}{(1 + \mathcal{M}_*^2 \tilde{R}^{2\eta})^{1/2}} \frac{n' - 3}{4} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2} \left( \frac{\tilde{R}}{\tilde{L}_i} \right)^{n' - 3} \right] \\ &\times \exp\left\{ - \frac{\left[ \ln(\tilde{M}/\tilde{R}^3) \right]^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \frac{\exp(-\sigma^2/8)}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}, \end{split}$$

-Can we form massive stars in spite of the radiative pressure ?

- -1D : grain solution
- -2D : flashlight solution
- -3D : radiative instability solution
- -collision model

-Can we prevent the gas to fragment in many objects ?

-isothermal
-radiative feedback
-magnetized non-radiative
-radiative and magnetized

# -Where the gas is coming from ?

-competitive accretion-gravitational well based theory-tracing the gas in simulations

# A hierarchy of wells:



Direct mapping between the wells and the stars ? Exchange between the wells ? Likely both ! But how much ?

#### Which mechanism is at play in gravo-turbulent simulations?

#### Competitive accretion or core formation ?

Smith et al. have run SPH simulations with gravity and sink particles

They identify cores and look at the correlation between the core masses and the sink masses.

The correlation is very good initially (few freefall times) and becomes progressively less good.

=>This is compatible with the core mass function being able to produce a reasonable IMF (Chabrier & H 2010).

Until how many freefall times are the cores accreting ?

The most massive stars is more massive than the mass contains in its initial well. Where this mass comes from ?



#### Where the gas comes from ?



Smith et al. 2009

# A hierarchy of wells:



Direct mapping between the wells and the stars ? Exchange between the wells ? Likely both ! But how much ?

# Conclusions

Impact of radiative transfer and magnetic field are obviously drastic in:

- regulating the mass accretion (but not stopping it)
- limiting the fragmentation

**Combination** of magnetic field and radiative transfer is **more** than their **mere** juxtaposition.

Where the mass is coming from ? still unclear.

# => Accretion is not a sufficiently clear statement.

The salient questions are:

- -is accretion determined by the present mass object (compet. accret.)?
- -is accretion determined by the initial well hierarchy ?

The feedback from massive stars is very non-linear. But predicting masses with an accuracy better than ~2 is a **huge challenge**. => a huge multi-scale, multi-physics problems

#### 100 Mo magnetized, turbulent and dense barotropic core

Powerful outflows are launched even in turbulent cores Faster flows appear with weaker fields





Hennebelle et al. 2011

#### Growth of the toroidal

# magnetic field within the disk

Importance of  $V_a/C_s$ 



=>Compatible with the assumption that the toroidal field, stabilizes the disk.



1D results by Kuiper et al. (2010)



The final mass of the stars is about 35-37 Ms. The history depends on the core mass.